

## GAME THEORY - ASSIGNMENT 1

Due date: **August 14, 2023.**

1. Consider the following game with two players  $\{b, s\}$ : Player  $b$  is the buyer of *time* from Player  $s$  who has 1 unit of time to sell. Strategies of the players are as follows:

- $b$  chooses a price  $p_b \in \{3, 4\}$ .
- $s$  chooses a price  $p_s \in \{3, 4\}$ .

Depending on the prices  $(p_b, p_s)$  chosen by the players, an amount of time  $q(p_b, p_s)$  is given by Player  $s$  to Player  $b$ :

- $q(p_b, p_s) = 0$  if  $p_b < p_s$ .
- $q(p_b, p_s) = 1$  if  $p_s = 3 \leq p_b$  at a per unit price  $p_s = 3$ .
- $q(p_b, p_s) = \frac{2}{3}$  if  $p_s = 4 = p_b$  at a per unit price  $p_b = 4$ .

Utilities of players are as follows. Player  $s$  incurs a cost  $c \in [0, 4]$  by giving one unit of time and Player  $b$  gets a value  $v \in [3, 5]$  per unit time of Player  $s$ . The per unit price paid by  $b$  to  $s$  is the price chosen by the seller ( $p_s$ ). Utilities are

$$u_b(p_b, p_s; v) = q(p_b, p_s)(v - p_s)$$

$$u_s(p_b, p_s; c) = q(p_b, p_s)(p_s - c),$$

where  $u_b$  is the utility of buyer and  $u_s$  is utility of seller. Answer the following.

- Show that for every  $v \neq 4$ , Player  $b$  has a weakly dominant strategy in this game. Specify the weakly dominant strategy. What happens at  $v = 4$ ?
  - Show that for  $c \geq 3$  and  $c \leq 1$ , Player  $s$  has a weakly dominant strategy in this game.
  - What happens if  $c \in (1, 3)$  for Player  $s$ ?
2. Three indivisible objects (houses) need to be assigned to three agents. Each agent needs to be assigned a unique house. Each agent has a strict preference ordering over the set of objects.

The agents play an *allocation game* to allocate objects. First, agent 1 goes and selects an object from the three objects. Second, agent 2 goes and selects an object from the remaining two objects. Finally, agent 3 gets the remaining object.

Write down the strategic form game by clearly specifying the strategies of the players.

3. An indivisible good is sold to 3 buyers. If any buyer  $i$  gets  $q_i \in \{0, 1\}$  quantity of the goods makes a payment of  $p_i$ , her payoff is

$$q_i v_i - p_i.$$

Payment  $p_i$  can be positive, negative or zero (some buyers may be *paid* or compensated).

The seller asks each buyer to place a bid. If  $(b_1, b_2, b_3)$  are the bids of the buyers then the highest bidder wins (with ties broken in favor of highest indexed bidder<sup>1</sup>). If bidder  $i$  wins, she pays  $\max_{j \neq i} b_j$ . Out of this payment, the seller returns

$$\frac{1}{3} \min_{j \neq i} b_j$$

to highest and second highest bidder and

$$\frac{1}{3} \max_{j \neq i} b_j$$

to the lowest bidder.

Show that bidding their own value is a weakly dominant strategy for each bidder.

---

<sup>1</sup>For instance, if buyer 1 and 2 are joint winners, buyer 1 wins the object.