### Stochastic Choice and Unobserved Reference Points

Varun. Bansal

<sup>\*</sup>Research Fellow Indian Statistical Institute, Delhi

18th December, 2023

### Introduction

• A reference point (in this case an alternative), is an alternative that can impact the choice behavior of a boundedly rational agent.

• It can do this by affecting: preferences (Status quo bias); and attention (consideration set).

• How a reference point affects such behavior leads to many possible heuristics that have been studied in the decision theory literature.

• Such work aims to provide theoretical foundations for observed patterns in decision-making different from rationality.

### Stochastic Choice and Unobservable Reference Point

• We construct a model of stochastic choice with **unknown** reference points that affect attention through consideration sets.

 We include unobservable reference points in the independent random attention (IRA) framework introduced by Manzini and Mariotti(2014)(MM14).

• The reference alternatives are different to the non-reference alternatives in that they are **attention privileged** (Kovach and Sulmeynov (2023)).

# A Simple Example

• Suppose you are in a food court with plenty of outlets.

• You pay attention to some subset of outlets (independently of each other), and then choose from the ones you looked at.

• However, if there is a McDonald's outlet, you always get attracted to the big M, and so, pay attention to it.

• So, the McDonald's outlet is **attention privileged**.

### Contents

• We provide a characterization of an IRA model with unobservable reference points.

• Followed by identification for the reference points as well as the underlying preferences.

• We conduct a comparative static analysis by comparing our model to some existing models in the choice theory literature.

• Finally, we look at the observed behavioral patterns of choice that this model can explain.

### Primitives

- Let X be the grand set of all alternatives. Choices are made from subsets of X denoted by X (|X| = 2<sup>X</sup>).
- A stochastic choice function is defined as follows:

#### Definition

A function  $p: X^* \times \mathcal{X} \to [0, 1]$  is a stochastic choice function if:

- $p(a,A) \geq 0$
- p(a, A) = 0 if  $a \notin A$
- $\sum_{a \in A} p(a, A) \leq 1$
- There is a default alternative denoted by a<sup>\*</sup> which could be interpretated as abstention, such that p(a<sup>\*</sup>, A) = 1 − ∑<sub>a∈A</sub> p(a, A) for all A ∈ X.
- $X^* = X \cup \{a^*\}$ , and  $A^* = A \cup \{*\}$ .

## Independent Random Attention (IRA)

• The idea for independent random attention is that all alternatives in *X* are **paid attention to**, with some probability which is **menu independent**.

• The probability of choices are also determined in an independent fashion where an alternative is chosen if it is paid attention to and all the better alternatives are not.

• So, there is some attention function:  $\delta : X \to (0, 1)$  which gives us the attention probability of any alternative in any menu in which it belongs.

### **Reference** Alternatives

• We introduce reference alternatives in the above setting.

• Denote by *E* the set of reference points. Note that *E* does not affect (or is affected by the preferences), nor is it dependent upon the menu.

• In this model, an alternative  $x \in E$  if and only if  $\delta(x) = 1$ .

• That is, all reference alternatives are attention privileged and non-reference alternatives are **not** attention privileged.

## Reference Dependent Random Consideration Set Model

Here we define the reference-dependent random consideration set model:

### Definition

A reference-dependent random consideration set model (RDRCM) is a stochastic choice function  $p_{\succ,\delta}$  where  $\succ$  is a strict total order and  $\delta: X \to (0, 1]$  is a map such that:

$$p_{\succ,\delta}(x,A) = \delta(x) \prod_{y \in A; y \succ x} (1 - \delta(y))$$

For all  $A \in \mathcal{X}$  and for all  $x \in A$ .

• The reference alternatives are defined in terms of attention privilege.

### Some Observations

• In this model, some alternatives can be chosen with zero probability.

• In any menu where an alternative from *E* is present, no alternative worse than that is chosen, giving us a **lower bound** interpretation with respect to the reference alternatives.

• We also have a **status quo bias** idea, since an alternative which is not the reference in a menu, weakly improves its chances if it was.

• There is also a property similar to **status quo irrelevance**, in that the incidence of an alternative from *E* in a menu does not affect the choice probability of any alternative preferred to it.

# Independent Random Attention (MM14)

Manzini and Mariotti defined what they call the "random consideration set rule". We show the definition of the rule they describe below.

#### Definition

A random consideration set rule is a stochastic choice function p for which there exists a strict linear order  $\succ$  over X and  $\delta$  where  $\delta : X \to (0, 1)$  such that:

$$P(x, A) = \delta(x) \prod_{y \in A, y \succ x} (1 - \delta(y))$$

for all  $A \in 2^X$  and for all  $x \in A$ .

- MM14 characterize their model with two axioms.
- The RDRCM model generalizes MM14. We provide 5 axioms to characterize the RDRCM.

#### Definition

## **A1(R-Asymmetry):** For all $a, b \in A$ with p(a, A) > 0 and p(b, A) > 0, $\frac{p(a,A \setminus \{b\})}{p(a,A)} \neq 1 \implies \frac{p(b,A \setminus \{a\})}{p(b,A)} = 1.$

#### Definition

**A2(R-Independence):** For all  $A \in \mathcal{X}$  with  $a, b \in A \cap B$  with  $p(a, A) > 0, p(a, B) > 0, \frac{p(a, A \setminus \{b\})}{p(a, A)} = \frac{p(a, B \setminus \{b\})}{p(a, B)}$  and for all  $A \in \mathcal{X}$  with  $p(a^*, A) > 0, p(a^*, B) > 0$  then  $\frac{p(a^*, A \setminus \{b\})}{p(a^*, A)} = \frac{p(a^*, B \setminus \{b\})}{p(a^*, B)}$ .

### Definition

### **A3(Regularity):** $A \subset B$ implies $p(a, A) \ge p(a, B)$ for all $a \in A^*$

In MM14 i-Asymmetry and i-Independence implied Regularity, that is not the case here. Consider an example where  $X = \{x, y\}$ ,  $P(x, \{x,y\})=1$ ,  $P(x, \{x\})=2/3$  and  $P(y, \{y\}) = 1/3$ . Here A1,A2,A4,A5 works, but A3 does not.

### Non-Trivial and Undominated Default

### Definition

**A4(Non-Trivial):**  $p(x, \{x\}) > 0$  for all  $x \in X$ .

### Definition

**A5(Undominated Default):** For all  $A \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $p(a^*, A) = 0$  there exists an alternative  $x \in A$  such that  $p(a^*, \{x\}) = 0$ .

### Theorem

#### Theorem

A stochastic choice function p is representable by a reference-dependent random consideration set model if and only if it satisfies A1-A5.

• We can identify the set of all reference alternatives using singleton menus.

• If we do not have data from singleton menus, we can still identify all references under reasonable richness restrictions.

• We will also be able to recover the underlying preferences among all alternatives, reference or otherwise.

A stochastic choice function  $p: X^* \times \mathcal{X}$  has a **Random Utility Model** (**RUM**) representation if there exists a distribution  $\Delta(\mathcal{L}(X))$  with typical element  $\nu$  over the set of all linear orders on  $X^*$  denoted by  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  with typical element R such that:

$$p(x,A) = \sum_{R \in \mathcal{L}(X) : x R y \forall y \in A^*} \nu(R)$$

for all  $x \in A^*$ .

## **RUM Representation**

• Similar to MM14, the general model also has a RUM representation.

• The set of references restricts the orders with positive weight in the distribution  $\nu$ .

• This allows for a comparative statics analysis of adding/removing specific alternatives from the set of references.

• We provide the specific representation in the next two slides.

• For any alternative  $a \in X$ , we have,  $\nu(\{R : aRa^*\}) = \delta(a)$ .

• If  $a \succ b$ , then consider an R such that bRa,  $aRa^*$  and  $bRa^*$ , we will have  $\nu(R) = 0$ .

• For any two alternatives a and b,  $\nu(\{R : aRa^* \text{ and } bRa^*\}) = \delta(a)\delta(b)$ .

• An reference, if present is always considered. So, we have  $\nu(\{R : a^*Ra\}) = 0$  for all  $a \in E$ .

• Suppose  $a \in E$  and  $a \succ b$ , then  $\nu(\{R : b \succ a\}) = 0$ .

• As we add alternatives to *E* more and more orders are given zero weight in a systematic way.

# RUM Representation of RDRCM

 Adding an alternative to E, means every alternative below (above) it in ≻ is below (above) it for all R's with positive weight.

• For all alternatives in *E* only those *R*'s have positive weight that conform to ≻ between them.

 As E becomes equal to X, only the R coinciding with ≻ gets the positive weight equal to 1. We have reached preference maximization.

• Adding a reference weakly improves the choices of the DM.

## Menu Dependent Reference Interpretation

- In our model, the reference alternatives are menu-independent.
- However, there exists a menu-dependent reference specification that is behaviourally equivalent to the RDRCM.
- This is when there is a reference assignment function from each menu to the set of alternatives, and the assignment is preference conforming with respect to the set *E*.
- We call the most preferred reference in a menu as the **effective** reference alternative.
- This way our data could be specified where each menu has one reference (or no reference) similar to the status quo bias literature.

### **Behavioral Implications**

• MM14 is able to accomodate violations of Stochastic Transitivity and menu effects, we discuss some more patterns that our model can accomodate.

• Status Quo Monotonicity: Clearly, an alternative being a reference is more likely to be chosen since its paid more attention to. There are more implications.

• We can observe extreme choice reversals among non reference alternatives.

• Adding a reference to a menu does not affect the probabilities of any alternative better than it. (categorical interpretation)

Varun Bansal (ISI)

Reference Dependent Choice

# Choice Overload

• Choice Overload in the context of references means the tendency to choose the reference should increase as the menu size increases.

• A model of independent attention means that in larger menus, the attention parameter does not change which means that accommodating choice overload is diffucult.

• Considering the menu-dependent interpretation, each menu has an **effective reference point**.

• Adding an alternative to a menu that changes the effective reference point, will weakly increase the probability of choosing the effective reference point.

Varun Bansal (ISI)

Reference Dependent Choice

### General Attention Model

• The attention parameters in the model are independent of menu and other alternatives.

• The general attention model would just have a distribution over subsets of menus which would specify the probability that each subset is the one considered.

• Attention privilege there would mean that any subset where the reference is not considered is assigned zero weight in such a distribution.

• The next step is to provide identification results for the general attention framework.

Varun Bansal (ISI)

Reference Dependent Choice

### Literature

• Reference Point: Masatlioglu and Ok (2005,2013); Tapki (2007); Dean et al. (2017); Sagi (2006); Apesteguia and Ballester (2009); Bossert and Sprumont (2007).

• Unknown Reference: Ok et al. (2015); Tserenjigmid (2019).

 Stochastic Choice and Consideration: Manzini and Mariotti (2014); Aguiar (2015), Horan (2019); Kovach and Sulmeynov (2023); Brady and Rehbeck (2016).

# Thank You!