Solutions to Assignment 8 Stat 155: Game Theory

## Question $1_{-}$

Firstly, observe that Column 3 dominates Column 2 for Player II. The new payoff matrix is

 $\begin{pmatrix} 8 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

Then, assigning probabilities p and 1 - p to rows 1 and 2 for Player I, we have that the expected payoffs for each choice of strategy of Player II are 8p, 4(1-p), 4p + 1. It is easy to see that the lower envelope of these expected payoffs is the piecewise linear curve,

$$\begin{array}{ll} 8p & \quad \text{if } p \leq \frac{1}{4} \\ 4p+1 & \quad \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \leq p \leq \frac{1}{3} \\ 4-4p & \quad \text{if } \frac{1}{3} \leq p \leq \frac{3}{8} \end{array}$$

and the changepoints are  $(\frac{1}{4}, 2)$  and  $(\frac{3}{8}, \frac{5}{2})$ . Thus, the optimal strategy for Player I is to play  $(\frac{3}{8}, \frac{5}{8})$  and the optimal strategy for Player II is to play  $(0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  and the value of the game is  $\frac{5}{2}$ .

In the transpose question, the payoff matrix is,

$$\begin{pmatrix}
8 & 0 \\
3 & 4 \\
0 & 4 \\
5 & 1
\end{pmatrix}$$

Here, Row 3 is dominated by Row 2 and then Row 4 is dominated by  $\frac{1}{2} \times \text{Row1} + \frac{1}{2} \times \text{Row2}$ .

Then, it is easy to solve a  $2 \times 2$  matrix to ascertain that the optimal strategies for Players I and II are  $(\frac{1}{9}, \frac{8}{9}), (\frac{4}{9}, \frac{5}{9})$  and the value is  $\frac{32}{9}$ .

## Question 2

It can be observed that Column3 is dominated by  $\frac{3}{8} \times$  Column1 +  $\frac{5}{8} \times$  Column2. Which gives the reduced payoff matrix,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 8 \\ 8 & 4 \\ 12 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Assigning probabilities q and 1-q to the actions of Player II, we get the set of expected payoffs 8(1-q), 4+4q, 16q-4. The upper envelope is the piecewise linear curve,

$$8p \qquad \text{if } p \le \frac{1}{4}$$

$$4p+1 \qquad \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \le p \le \frac{1}{3}$$

$$4-4p \qquad \text{if } \frac{1}{3} \le p \le \frac{3}{8}$$

and the changepoints are  $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{16}{3})$  and  $(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{20}{3})$ . Thus, the optimal strategy for Player I is to play  $(\frac{1}{3}, 0, \frac{2}{3})$  and the optimal strategy for Player II is to play  $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, 0)$  and the value of the game is  $\frac{16}{3}$ .