Solutions to Assignment 9 Stat 155: Game Theory

Question 1

Using translation invariance of optimal strategies, we solve the following modified payoff matrix made by adding 3 to each payoff. Recall that the optimal strategies of these modified game is same as that of the original game and the value is increased by 3.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 3 & 6 \\ 5 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

One possible choice of pivots are as follows: in the first step, 5 at $(3,1)^{th}$ position, in the second step, $\frac{8}{5}$ at the $(1,2)^{th}$ position.

Then the optimal strategies become, $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2})$ for Player I and (0, 1, 0) for Player II. The value is 4 - 3 = 1.

Question 2 $_$

Firstly, we notice that the following change of variable, $y_1 = 2z_1, y_2 = z_2, y_3 = 3z_3$, makes the problem easier to parse. The new optimization problem is,

maximize $y_1 + y_2 + y_3$

subject to

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2}y_1 + \frac{1}{2}y_2 \leq 1 \\ &\frac{1}{2}y_2 + \frac{1}{2}y_3 \leq 1 \\ &\frac{1}{2}y_1 + \frac{1}{2}y_3 \leq 1 \\ &y_1, y_2, y_3 \geq 0 \end{split}$$

We make another change of variable of the form $x_i = \frac{y_i}{y_1 + y_2 + y_3}$ and $v = y_1 + y_2 + y_3 \ge 0$. Obviously, $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1$. Then the optimization problem becomes,

maximize
$$\frac{1}{v}$$

subject to
 $\frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2 \le v$
 $\frac{1}{2}x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_3 \le v$
 $\frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_3 \le v$
 $x_1, x_2, x_3 \ge 0$
 $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1$

As $v \geq 0,$ maximizing $\frac{1}{v}$ is same as minimizing v, which means the optimization problem is same as,

minimize v

subject to

$$\frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2 \le v$$
$$\frac{1}{2}x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_3 \le v$$
$$\frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_3 \le v$$
$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \ge 0$$
$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1$$

which is exactly a Two-Person-Zero-Sum Game with the following payoff matrix where the optimization equations are written from Player IIs´ perpective. a)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & o\\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

b) It is easy to notice that the optimal strategies are uniform strategies $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$ and the value is $\frac{1}{3}$.

c) This means the optimal values for $y_i = 1$, hence the optimal value of the original optimization problem is 3, and the optimal solutions are $z_1 = \frac{1}{2}, z_2 = 1, z_3 = \frac{1}{3}$.