GAME THEORY - MIDTERM EXAMINATION Date: September 4, 2016 Total marks: **30** Duration: 10:00 AM to 1:00 PM

Note: Answer all questions clearly using pen. Please avoid unnecessary discussions.

1. Consider the following two player game in Table 1.

	a	b	c
A	(6, 2)	(0, 6)	(4, 4)
В	(2, 12)	(4, 3)	(2,5)
C	(0, 6)	(10, 0)	(2, 2)

Table 1: Two Player Game

- Is there a pure strategy of Player 1 that is strictly dominated by another (possibly mixed) strategy? (2 marks)
- Compute and illustrate the best response maps of both the players of this game. (4 marks)
- Use the best response maps to compute all Nash equilibria of this game. (3 marks)
- 2. Suppose Player *i* has a pure strategy s_i in a finite game that is chosen with positive probability in *each* of his maxmin strategies (maxmin strategies may be mixed). Prove that s_i is not weakly dominated by any other (pure or mixed) strategy. (4 marks)

Suppose Player *i* has a pure strategy s_i in a finite game that is chosen with positive probability in *one* of his maxmin strategies (maxmin strategies may be mixed). Prove or provide a counter example that s_i is not weakly dominated by any other (pure or mixed) strategy. (4 marks)

- 3. Consider the two player game shown in Table 2.
 - Describe all the pure maxmin strategies of Players 1 and 2. (2 marks)
 - Does a value exist for this game? If yes, what is the value of this game? If no, why does the value not exist in this game? (5 marks)
 - Without explicitly computing it, describe all mixed strategy Nash equilibria of this game. (2 marks)

	a	b	С
A	(0, 0)	(-1, 1)	(1, -1)
В	(1, -1)	(0,0)	(-1, 1)
C	(-1, 1)	(1, -1)	(0, 0)

Table 2: Two Player Game

4. Consider a two player *partnership* game. Each player invests an amount $a_i \in [0, 1]$. The utility of player $i \in \{1, 2\}$ is given by

$$u_i(a_1, a_2) = f(a_1)f(a_2) - c(a_i),$$

where f and c are strictly increasing continuous functions with f(0) > 0.

Argue clearly why this partnership game has a pure strategy Nash equilibrium. (4 marks)