Extreme eigenvalues of random matrices with dependent entries

Rajat Subhra Hazra

Institut für Mathematik Universität Zürich

January 16, 2013



Wigner matrix with dependent entries On going works with Arijit Chakraborty, Parthanil Roy and Deepayan Sarkar



Wigner Matrix W_n : symmetric with IID random variables.

$$W_n = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & \dots & x_{1(n-1)} & x_{1n} \\ x_{12} & x_{22} & x_{23} & \dots & x_{2(n-1)} & x_{2n} \\ & & & \vdots \\ x_{1n} & x_{2n} & x_{3n} & \dots & x_{(n-1)n} & x_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$



.



Problems of interest

The limiting spectral distribution of empirical spectral distribution:

$$L_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_i}$$

- Spectral radius: $Sp(A) = \max\{|\lambda_i| : \lambda_i \text{ eigenvalues of } A\}.$
- Spectral Norm: $\|A\| = \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(A^*A)}$.
- If A is self-adjoint matrix $(A = A^*)$ then sp(A) = ||A||.
- Universality of the results in light tailed case.
- Heavy Tailed case: behavior depends on the tail of the input sequence.



The model

- Suppose that $\{X_{i,j}: i, j \ge 1\}$ is a family of i.i.d. random variables such that

 $P(|X_{11}| > \cdot) \in RV_{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$.

- $\{c_{i,j}: 0 \le i, j \le N\}$ are real numbers.
- Define

$$Y_{k,l} := \sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} c_{ij} X_{i+k,j+l}, \ 1 \le k \le l.$$

• For k > l, set

$$Y_{k,l} := Y_{l,k}$$
 .

For n ≥ 1, let A_n denote the n × n matrix whose (i, j)-th entry is Y_{i,j}.



The problem

- Problem: To find the asymptotics of $||A_n||$ as $n \to \infty$.
- Similar models with dependence considered for Covariance matrix by Davis, Pffafel, Stelzer (2011)
- i.i.d. entries + heavy tailed (0 $\leq \alpha \leq$ 2) Soshnikov
- i.i.d. entries + heavy tailed (2 $\leq \alpha \leq$ 4)-Ben Arous and Péche.



The result

Define



The result (contd.)

Theorem If $0 < \alpha < 1$, then

$$\frac{\|A_n\|}{b(n^2/2)} \Longrightarrow \|C\|Z\,,$$

as $n \to \infty$, where Z is a Fréchet (α) random variable, with c.d.f.

$$P(Z \leq x) = \exp\left(-x^{-lpha}\right), \ x > 0$$
.



Some remarks about the proof

- We look at $A_n^{2r} = U_n + V_n$.
- U_n contains all the 2*r*-th power and V_n other crossed terms.
- $\|V_n\|_{\infty} = o_P(b(n)^{2r})$. (Our proof fails here for $\alpha > 1$).
- U_n gives the contributing terms as $r \to \infty$.
- $1 \le \alpha \le 4$ remains open!!
- α > 4?? Light tailed case- Gaussian??



Light tailed: LSD

Theorem (A. Chakraborty, R, D. Sarkar)

The limiting spectral distribution for light tailed entries converge to compactly supported measure μ . The Stieltjes transform \mathcal{G} of μ , defined by

$$\mathcal{G}(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} rac{\mu(dx)}{z-x}, \, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \, ,$$

is given by

$$\mathcal{G}(z) = \int_0^1 \mathcal{H}(z, x) dx$$

where ${\mathcal H}$ is a function from ${\mathbb C}\times [0,1]$ to ${\mathbb C},$ satisfying

$$z\mathcal{H}(z,x) = 1 + \mathcal{H}(z,x) \int_0^1 f(x,y)\mathcal{H}(z,y)dy, 0 \le x \le 1.$$



Largest Eigenvalue of Sample Autocovariance matrix On going works with Bikramjit Das and Souvik Ghosh



- Let $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a stationary process with mean $\mu = \mathsf{E} X_i$,
- $\gamma_k = \mathsf{E}[(X_0 \mu)(X_k \mu)], \ k \in \mathbb{Z}$, its autocovariances.

$$\Sigma_n = (\gamma_{i-j})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$$

is the autocovariance matrix of (X_1, \ldots, X_n) .

• Given observations X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n with $E[X_i] = 0$ consider

$$\hat{\Sigma}_n = (\hat{\gamma}_{i-j})_{1 \le i,j \le n}, \text{ where } \hat{\gamma}_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=|k|+1}^n X_{i-|k|} X_i.$$
 (2.1)

• Aim: Asymptotics of the spectral norm of $\widehat{\Sigma_n}$.



Existing Works

- Wu and Pourhamadi (2009) : $\|\widehat{\Sigma_n} \Sigma\| \nrightarrow 0$ in probability.
- Xiao and Wu (2012):

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathsf{P}\left[C^{-1}\log n \leq \|\widehat{\Sigma_n} - \Sigma\| \leq C\log n\right] \to 1.$$

- Related works on Autocovariance matrix estimation: Basak and Bose (2012) and Cai, Zhang and Zhou (2010).
- Banded consistent estimator can also be formed-Xiao and Wu (2012) and McMurry and Politis(2010)



 $X_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \epsilon_{t-i}.$ Conjecture 1:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|\hat{\Sigma}_n\|}{2\pi \max_{\theta} f(\theta) \log n} = C(?) \quad \text{almost surely.}$$
(2.2)

Conjecture 2:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|\hat{\Sigma}_n\|}{2\pi \max_{\theta} f(\theta) \log n} = C \quad \text{in probability.}$$
(2.3)
Conjecture 3:

$$\|\hat{\Sigma}_n\| - a_n$$

$$\frac{\|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_n\|-a_n}{b_n}\implies \Lambda \text{ where } \Lambda(x)=\exp(-e^{-x}).$$



$$\hat{\Sigma}_n = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\gamma}_0 & \hat{\gamma}_1 & \cdots & \hat{\gamma}_{n-2} & \hat{\gamma}_{n-1} \\ \hat{\gamma}_1 & \hat{\gamma}_0 & \hat{\gamma}_1 & \cdots & \hat{\gamma}_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \hat{\gamma}_1 & \hat{\gamma}_0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n-2} & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \hat{\gamma}_1 \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n-1} & \hat{\gamma}_{n-2} & \cdots & \hat{\gamma}_1 & \hat{\gamma}_0 \end{bmatrix} = ((\hat{\gamma}_{|i-j|}))_{0 \le i,j \le n}.$$

Motivation coming from works of Sen and Virag (2012) on Toeplitz matrices.



Brockwell and Davis: $\hat{\Sigma}_n = \frac{1}{n}TT'$ where T is the following $n \times 2n$ matrix:

$$T_{n \times 2n} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & X_0 & X_1 & \cdots & X_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & X_0 & X_1 & \cdots & X_{n-1} & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & X_0 & X_1 & \cdots & X_{n-1} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & X_0 & X_1 & \cdots & X_{n-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$



T is $n \times 2n$ principal submatrix of a Reverse Circulant matrix. A reverse circulant matrix of order $2n \times 2n$ is of the following form,

$$\mathbf{R}_{2n} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_{2n-2} & a_{2n-1} \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \dots & a_{2n-1} & a_0 \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & \dots & a_0 & a_1 \\ & & \vdots & & \\ a_{2n-1} & a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_{2n-3} & a_{2n-2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Now if you take $a_j = 0$ for $0 \le j \le n - 1$ and $a_{j+n} = X_j$ for $0 \le j \le n$



Now note that any Reverse circulant of order $2n \times 2n$ can be written in the block form as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_1 & \mathbf{H}_2 \\ \mathbf{H}_2 & \mathbf{H}_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

With the above choice of a_j we can write $T = [H_1 \quad H_2]$. So we can write,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\Sigma}_n & O_n \\ O_n & O_n \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{H}_1 & \mathsf{H}_2 \\ O_n & O_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{H}_1 & O_n \\ \mathsf{H}_2 & O_n \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{n} \mathsf{Q}_{2n} \mathsf{R}_{2n} \mathsf{R}_{2n} \mathsf{Q}_{2n}$$

where \mathbf{Q}_{2n} denote the following projection matrix

$$\mathbf{Q}_{2n} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{0}_n \\ \mathbf{0}_n & \mathbf{0}_n \end{array} \right].$$



- $\hat{\Sigma}_n$ has the same non zero eigenvalues as $2 \mathbf{P}_{2n} \mathbf{D}_{2n}^{\dagger} \mathbf{P}_{2n}$.
- $\mathbf{P}_{2n} = \mathbf{U}_{2n}^* \mathbf{Q}_{2n} \mathbf{U}_{2n}$.
- $\mathbf{D}_{2n}^{\dagger} = \text{diag}(d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{2n-1}).$

$$d_k = \frac{1}{2n} \Big| \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} X_j \exp(\frac{2\pi i j k}{2n}) \right] \Big|^2.$$

•
$$d_k = d_{2n-k}$$
.



$$\|\mathbf{P}_{2n} D_{2n}^{\dagger} \mathbf{P}_{2n}\| \le \max_{1 \le k \le n} d_k.$$
$$d_k = \frac{1}{2n} \Big| \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} X_j \exp(\frac{2\pi i j k}{2n}) \right] \Big|^2 = C_k^2 + S_k^2$$

- For {X_j} i.i.d. Gaussian, C_j, S_j approximately χ² with 1-degree of freedom.
- d_k is approximately exponential, but having dependence.
- For k-l odd,

$$Cov(C_k, S_l) = \frac{1}{n} \left[\cot(\frac{\pi(k+l)}{2n}) + \cot(\frac{\pi(l-k)}{2n}) \right]$$



Suppose $\{X_j\}$ are i.i.d. Gaussian. Using the methods of Berman (1964), and using, $\sum_{1 \le k-l: \text{ odd} \le n} \text{Cov}(C_k, S_l) \sim \log n$

Theorem

$$\mathsf{P}\left[\max_{1\leq k\leq n-1}d_k<2x+2\log n\right]\to\exp(-e^{-x}).$$



Corollary

$$\frac{\|\widehat{\Sigma_n}\|}{2\pi \max_{\theta} f(\theta)} = \mathsf{O}_\mathsf{P}(2\log n).$$

For $X_t = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j Z_{t-j}$ one has to assume $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{\frac{1}{2}} |\psi_j| < \infty$ and $f(\lambda) > 0$ for all $\lambda \in [0, \pi]$. We use some results on Periodogram from Walker(1965) to

show the above theorem.



Concluding remarks

- Using $\|\mathbf{P}_{2n}\| \leq 1$ loses most of information about the constant.
- $\mathbf{P}_{2n} \sim \Pi : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}).$
- $\| \mathbf{P}_{2n} \mathbf{D}_{2n}^{\dagger} \mathbf{P}_{2n} \| \approx \| \Pi_{2n} \mathbf{D}_{2n}^{\dagger} \Pi_{2n} \| \approx \log n \| \Pi_{2n}^{\dagger} \Pi_{2n}^{\dagger} \|.$
- $\|\Pi_{2n}^{\dagger}\Pi_{2n}^{\dagger}\| \approx 0.8.$



Thank you for your attention $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\sc b}}$

