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## Model

$$
\theta_{t} \circ x:=\theta_{t}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{x} A_{i}^{(t)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{N}_{0}
$$

$\theta_{t}$ maps an integer $x$ into a random integer with an interpretation that each of $x$ individuals in the $(t-1)$ th generation leaves behind a random number of children, and all these numbers are independent and have the same distribution as some generic random variable, say $A$.
To introduce immigration in the model, we assume that another i.i.d. sequence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(B, B_{t}, t \in \mathbb{Z}\right) \\
X_{t}=\theta_{t} \circ X_{t-1}+B_{t} \quad \text { for each } t \geq 1
\end{gathered}
$$

or in an alternative notation

$$
X_{t}=\sum_{i=1}^{X_{t-1}} A_{i}^{(t)}+B_{t} \quad \text { for each } t \geq 1
$$

Queueing theory - Altman (2002, 2004, 2005), Fiems and Altman (2002)

- polling systems - Resing (1993)
- infinite server queues
- processor sharing queues
- packet forwarding in delay-tolerant mobile ad-hoc networks

Time series theory (INteger AutoRegressive (INAR) processes) - Alosh and Alzaid (1987), Dion, Gauthier and Latour (1995)

Markov chain theory - Segers and Janßen (2012)

## Stationary distribution

Denote

$$
f(z)=E\left(z^{A}\right), \quad g(z)=E\left(z^{B}\right)
$$

Following Foster and Williamson (1971), the Markov chain $\left(X_{t}\right)$ is ergodic with unique stationary distribution if and only if

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1-g(s)}{f(s)-s} d s<\infty
$$

In terms of moments, sufficient conditions are given in Seneta (1970). If

$$
0<\mu:=E(A)<1 \quad \text { and } \quad E(\ln (1+B))<\infty
$$

then the chain is ergodic with unique stationary distribution:
$X_{t} \stackrel{d}{=} B_{t}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \theta_{t}^{(t-k)} \circ \cdots \circ \theta_{t-k+1}^{(t-k)}\left(B_{t-k}\right)=: B_{t}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigotimes_{i=0}^{k-1} \theta_{t-i}^{(t-k)}\left(B_{t-k}\right)=: \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{t, k}$
where $\left(C_{t, k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ is a sequence of independent random variables.

## Another Markov chain

We can also consider a Markov chain $\left(X_{t}^{\prime}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ which evolves as

$$
X_{t}^{\prime}=\max \left\{\theta_{t} \circ X_{t-1}^{\prime}, B_{t}\right\} \quad \text { for each } t \geq 1
$$

The unique stationary distribution of $\left(X_{t}^{\prime}\right)$ exists since $X_{t}^{\prime} \leq X_{t}$ and it is given by:
$X_{t}^{\prime} \stackrel{d}{=} \sup \left\{B_{t}, \theta_{t}^{(t-k)} \circ \cdots \circ \theta_{t-k+1}^{(t-k)}\left(B_{t-k}\right): k=1,2, \ldots\right\}=\sup \left\{C_{t, k}: k \geq 0\right\}$
Goal:

- Identify the tail behaviour of the distribution of the stationary solution $X_{t}$ under assumption that the generic size of immigration $B$ or generic size of offsprings $A$ has regularly varying distribution
- CLT for the heavy tailed partial sums
- prove that partial maxima have Fréchet limiting distribution


## Regularly varying immigration

$$
\begin{gathered}
0<\mu=E(A)<1 \\
P(B>x)=x^{-\alpha} L(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

for some $\alpha \in(0,2)$ and a slowly varying function $L$.
We consider here the case $\alpha \in(0,2)$ only. For $\alpha>2$ proofs become much more involved, however, a technique is clearly suggested by the case $\alpha \in$ $[1,2)$ For $\alpha \in[1,2)$ we also assume that

$$
E\left(A^{2}\right)<\infty
$$

In particular, it means that:

$$
P(A>x)=o(P(B>x))
$$

Then:

$$
P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{B} A_{i}>x\right) \sim P(B>x / \mu)
$$

## Main result

Theorem 1

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P\left(X_{t}>x\right)}{P(B>x)}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P\left(X_{t}^{\prime}>x\right)}{P(B>x)}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu^{k \alpha}
$$

Notations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{A}^{(k)}=\theta_{k} \circ \cdots \circ \theta_{1} \circ 1 \\
\tilde{A}_{i}^{(k)} \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{A} \tilde{A}_{j}^{(k-1)} \\
C_{t, k} \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{B_{t-k}} \tilde{A}_{j}^{(k)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Recall that:

$$
X_{t} \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{t, k}
$$

## Idea of the proof

Step 1: Large deviation results give:

$$
P\left(C_{t, k}>x\right)=P\left(\bigotimes_{i=0}^{k-1} \theta_{t-i}^{(t-k)}\left(B_{t-k}\right)>x\right) \sim P\left(B>x / \mu^{k}\right)
$$

Let

$$
X_{t, m}=\sum_{k=0}^{m} C_{t, k}
$$

and

$$
X_{t, m}^{\prime}=\max \left\{C_{t, k}: k=0, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

Then

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P\left(X_{t, m}>x\right)}{P(B>x)}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P\left(X_{t, m}^{\prime}>x\right)}{P(B>x)}=\sum_{k=0}^{m} \mu^{k \alpha}
$$

## Idea of the proof

Step 2:

$$
\liminf _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{t, k}>x\right)}{P(B>x)} \geq \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P\left(\sum_{k=0}^{m} C_{t, k}>x\right)}{P(B>x)} \geq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu^{k \alpha}
$$

Step 3: To establish an upper bound for the tail of $X_{t}$ it is enough to show that

$$
\lim _{k_{0} \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P\left(\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} C_{t, k}>x\right)}{P(B>x)}=0
$$

Similarly, to obtain an upper bound for the tail of $X_{t}^{\prime}$, it is enough to show that

$$
\lim _{k_{0} \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P\left(\sup _{k \geq k_{0}} C_{t, k}>x\right)}{P(B>x)}=0
$$

Observe that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{P\left(\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} C_{t, k}>x\right)}{P(B>x)} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{P\left(\sup _{k \geq k_{0}} B_{t-k}>x(1-\varepsilon) / \mu^{k}\right)}{P(B>x)}+\frac{P\left(\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} C_{t, k} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{B_{t-k}<x(1-\varepsilon) / \mu^{k}\right\}}>x\right)}{P(B>x)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Idea of the proof

The first term on the right hand side is bounded above by:

$$
\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{P\left(B>x(1-\varepsilon) / \mu^{k}\right)}{P(B>x)}
$$

One can use the Potter's bounds to see that its limit is zero if we let first $x$ and then $k_{0}$ converge to $\infty$.
Upper bound of the second term
Case $0<\alpha<1$ : We apply the Markov inequality, Karamata's theorem in combination with the Potter's bounds.
Case $1 \leq \alpha<2$ : Note that it sufficient to prove that:
$\lim _{k_{0} \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P\left(\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} C_{t, k}>\sqrt{x}\right)}{P(B>\sqrt{x})}=\lim _{k_{0} \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P\left(\left(\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} C_{t, k}\right)^{2}>x\right)}{P\left(B^{2}>x\right)}=0$

## Idea of the proof

Repeating a similar argument as for $\alpha \in(0,1)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\left(\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} C_{t, k}\right)^{2}>x\right) \\
& P\left(B^{2}>x\right) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{P\left(\sup _{k \geq k_{0}} B_{t-k}^{2}>x(1-\varepsilon) / \mu^{2 k}\right)}{P\left(B^{2}>x\right)}+\frac{P\left(\left(\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} C_{t, k} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{B_{t-k}^{2}<x(1-\varepsilon) / \mu^{2 k}\right\}}\right)^{2}>x\right)}{P\left(B^{2}>x\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first term could be treated using the Potter's bound since $B^{2}$ is regularly varying. Using Markov inequality, the second one can be bounded above by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{E\left(\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} C_{t, k} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{B_{t-k}^{2}<x(1-\varepsilon) / \mu^{2 k}\right\}}\right)^{2}}{x P\left(B^{2}>x\right)} \leq \frac{E\left(\sum_{k=k 0}^{\infty} C_{t, k}^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{B_{t-k}^{2}<x(1-\varepsilon) / \mu^{2 k}\right\}}\right)}{x P\left(B^{2}>x\right)}+ \\
& \quad+\frac{E\left(\sum_{\substack{k, l=k k_{0} \\
k \neq l}}^{\infty} C_{t, k} C_{t, l} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{B_{t-k}^{2}<x(1-\varepsilon) / \mu^{2 k}\right\}} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{B_{t-l}^{2}<x(1-\varepsilon) / \mu^{2 k}\right\}}\right)}{x P\left(B^{2}>x\right)}=: J_{1}\left(k_{0}\right)+J_{2}\left(k_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Idea of the proof

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{1}\left(k_{0}\right)=\frac{E\left(\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} C_{t, k}^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{B_{t-k}^{2}<x(1-\varepsilon) / \mu^{2 k}\right\}}\right)}{x P\left(B^{2}>x\right)} \\
& \leq \sum_{k=k_{0}} \frac{\sum_{n \leq(x(1-\varepsilon))^{1 / 2} / \mu^{k}} E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{A}_{j}^{(k)}\right)^{2} P\left(B_{t-k}=n\right)}{x P\left(B^{2}>x\right)} \\
& \leq \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} C(k+1) \mu^{k} \frac{E\left(B \mathbf{1}_{\left\{B^{2}<x(1-\varepsilon) / \mu^{2 k}\right\}}\right)}{x P\left(B^{2}>x\right)}+\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} \mu^{2 k} \frac{E\left(B^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{B^{2}<x(1-\varepsilon) / \mu^{2 k}\right\}}\right)}{x P\left(B^{2}>x\right)} \\
& =J_{11}\left(k_{0}\right)+J_{12}\left(k_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $B^{2}$ is regularly varying with index $\alpha / 2 \in(0,1)$, Karamata's theorem applies again and we finally have

$$
\lim _{k_{0} \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} J_{12}\left(k_{0}\right)=0
$$

## Idea of the proof

If $E(B)<\infty$, then $J_{11}\left(k_{0}\right)$ is bounded by

$$
J_{11}\left(k_{0}\right) \leq C \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty}(k+1) \mu^{k} \frac{E(B)}{x P\left(B^{2}>x\right)}
$$

and hence goes to 0 as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Slightly more complex is the case $E(B)=\infty$ (when $\alpha=1$ ) and we skip it here.
Likewise,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{2}\left(k_{0}\right)= & \frac{\sum_{\substack{k, l-k k_{0} \\
k \neq l}}^{\infty} E\left(C_{t, k} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{B_{t-k}^{2}<x(1-\varepsilon) / \mu^{2 k}\right\}}\right) E\left(C_{t, l} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{B_{t-l}^{2}<x(1-\varepsilon) / \mu^{2 k}\right\}}\right)}{x P\left(B^{2}>x\right)} \\
\leq & \sum_{\substack{k, l=k_{0} \\
k \neq l}}^{\infty} E\left((k+1) \mu^{k} B_{t-k} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{B_{t-k}^{2}<x(1-\varepsilon) / \mu^{2 k}\right\}}\right) \\
& E\left((l+1) \mu^{l} B_{t-l} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{B_{t-l}^{2}<x(1-\varepsilon) / \mu^{2 k}\right\}}\right) \frac{1}{x P\left(B^{2}>x\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{k_{0} \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} J_{2}\left(k_{0}\right)=0
$$

## Regularly varying offspring

$$
\begin{gathered}
0<\mu:=E(A)<1 \\
P(A>x)=x^{-\alpha} L(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

for some $\alpha \in(1,2)$ and a slowly varying function $L$.
We consider here the case $\alpha \in(1,2)$ only. For $\alpha>2$ the proof of the main result of this subsection could be adopted.

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(B>x)}{P(A>x)}=c
$$

where $c$ is finite (possible equal 0 ) constant. If $c>0$ we need also to assume that $B$ is consistently varying.
Theorem 2

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P\left(X_{t}>x\right)}{P(A>x)}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P\left(X_{t}^{\prime}>x\right)}{P(A>x)}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_{k}
$$

## Asymptotics for maxima

Denote in the sequel by $\left(a_{n}\right)$ a sequence of constants such that for any $u>0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
n P\left(X_{0}>a_{n} u\right) \rightarrow u^{-\alpha}
$$

Theorem 3 Under assumptions of Model I or Model II with $\alpha \neq 1$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ it holds that

$$
P\left(\frac{M_{n}}{a_{n}} \leq x\right) \rightarrow \exp \left(-\left(1-\mu^{\alpha}\right) x^{-\alpha}\right)
$$

for every $x \geq 0$ where $M_{n}=\max \left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$.

## Idea of the proof

We describe the asymptotic behavior of the following point processes

$$
N_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{\left(i / n, X_{i} / a_{n}\right)} \quad \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

It turns out by Theorem 2.3 in Basrak, Krizmanić and Segers (2012) that there exist a point processes $N^{(u)}, u>0$ on the space $[0,1] \times(u, \infty)$ with compound Poisson structure such that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\left.N_{n}\right|_{[0,1] \times(u, \infty)} \xrightarrow{d} N^{(u)}
$$

## Behavior of large values

Theorem 4 Under assumptions of Model I or Model II with $\alpha \neq 1$ there is a compound Poisson process $N^{\circ}$ on $[0,1]$ such that

$$
N_{n}^{\circ}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{\frac{i}{n}} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{X_{i}>a_{n}\right\}} \xrightarrow{d} N^{\circ}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Moreover, the limiting process $N^{\circ}$ has the following representation

$$
N^{\circ} \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \kappa_{i} \delta_{T_{i}}
$$

where $\sum_{i} \delta_{T_{i}}$ is a homogeneous Poisson point process on the interval $[0,1]$ with intensity $\theta$ and $\left(\kappa_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with values in $\mathbb{N}$ independent of it. Finally, random variables $\kappa_{i}$ have geometric distribution:

$$
P\left(\kappa_{1}=k\right)=\mu^{-\alpha(k-1)}\left(1-\mu^{-\alpha}\right)
$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

## Asymptotics for sums

Let

$$
S_{n}=X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}
$$

Theorem 5 For $\alpha>2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(S_{n}-n E B /(1-\mu)\right) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

where $\sigma^{2}=E\left(X_{0}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E\left(X_{0} X_{i}\right)<\infty$. Under the assumptions of Model I, for $\alpha \in(0,1)$

$$
\frac{S_{n}}{a_{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{S}_{\alpha}
$$

Similarly, when $\alpha \in(1,2)$ under assumptions of either Model I or II and under additional condition (3.2) of Davis and Hsing (1995):

$$
\frac{S_{n}-E \frac{X_{i}}{a_{n}} 1_{\left\{\frac{\left|X_{i}\right|}{a_{n}} \leq 1\right\}}}{a_{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{S}_{\alpha}
$$
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