

isid/ms/2015/19

October 14, 2015

<http://www.isid.ac.in/~statmath/index.php?module=Preprint>

# Baker's Explicit abc-Conjecture and Waring's problem

SHANTA LAISHRAM

Indian Statistical Institute, Delhi Centre  
7, SJSS Marg, New Delhi-110 016, India



# BAKER'S EXPLICIT ABC-CONJECTURE AND WARING'S PROBLEM

SHANTA LAISHRAM

ABSTRACT. The conjecture of Masser-Oesterlé, popularly known as *abc*-conjecture have many consequences. We use an explicit version due to Baker to resolve Waring's problem.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The well known conjecture of Masser-Oesterle states that

**Conjecture 1.1. Oesterlé and Masser's *abc*-conjecture:** *For any given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a computable constant  $\mathfrak{c}_\epsilon$  depending only on  $\epsilon$  such that if*

$$(1) \quad a + b = c$$

where  $a, b$  and  $c$  are coprime positive integers, then

$$c \leq \mathfrak{c}_\epsilon \left( \prod_{p|abc} p \right)^{1+\epsilon}.$$

It is known as *abc*-conjecture; the name derives from the usage of letters  $a, b, c$  in (1). For any positive integer  $i > 1$ , let  $N = N(i) = \prod_{p|i} p$  be the radical of  $i$ ,  $P(i)$  be the greatest prime factor of  $i$  and  $\omega(i)$  be the number of distinct prime factors of  $i$  and we put  $N(1) = 1, P(1) = 1$  and  $\omega(1) = 0$ . An explicit version of this conjecture due to Baker [Bak94] is the following:

**Conjecture 1.2. Explicit *abc*-conjecture:** *Let  $a, b$  and  $c$  be pairwise coprime positive integers satisfying (1). Then*

$$c < \frac{6}{5} N \frac{(\log N)^\omega}{\omega!}$$

where  $N = N(abc)$  and  $\omega = \omega(N)$ .

We observe that  $N = N(abc) \geq 2$  whenever  $a, b, c$  satisfy (1). We shall refer to Conjecture 1.1 as *abc-conjecture* and Conjecture 1.2 as *explicit abc-conjecture*. It was proved in Laishram and Shorey [LaSh12] that Conjecture 1.2 implies the following explicit version of Conjecture 1.1.

**Theorem 1.** *Assume Conjecture 1.2. Let  $a, b$  and  $c$  be pairwise coprime positive integers satisfying (1) and  $N = N(abc)$ . Then we have*

$$(2) \quad c < N^{1+\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Further for  $0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{3}{4}$ , there exists  $\omega_\epsilon$  depending only on  $\epsilon$  such that when  $N = N(abc) \geq N_\epsilon = \prod_{p \leq p_{\omega_\epsilon}} p$ , we have

$$c < \kappa_\epsilon N^{1+\epsilon}$$

where

$$\kappa_\epsilon = \frac{6}{5\sqrt{2\pi \max(\omega, \omega_\epsilon)}} \leq \frac{6}{5\sqrt{2\pi\omega_\epsilon}}$$

with  $\omega = \omega(N)$ . Here are some values of  $\epsilon, \omega_\epsilon$  and  $N_\epsilon$ .

$\epsilon$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{7}{12}$	$\frac{6}{11}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{34}{71}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{3}$
$\omega_\epsilon$	14	49	72	127	175	548	6460
$N_\epsilon$	$e^{37.1101}$	$e^{204.75}$	$e^{335.71}$	$e^{679.585}$	$e^{1004.763}$	$e^{3894.57}$	$e^{63727}$

Thus  $c < N^2$  which was conjectured in Granville and Tucker [GrTu02]. As a consequence of Theorem 1, we resolve Waring's Problem.

For each integer  $k \geq 2$ , denote by  $g(k)$  the smallest integer  $g$  such that any positive integer is the sum of at most  $g$  integers of the form  $x^k$ .

It is easy to prove a lower bound for  $g(k)$ , namely  $g(k) \geq 2^k + [(\frac{3}{2})^k] - 2$ . The ideal Waring's Theorem is the following conjecture, dating back to 1853:

**Conjecture 1.3.** *For any  $k \geq 2$ , the equality  $g(k) = 2^k + [(\frac{3}{2})^k] - 2$  holds.*

**Theorem 2.** *Assume Conjecture 1.2. Then Conjecture 1.3 is true.*

This conjecture has a long and interesting history. We refer to Waldschmidt [Mic00, p. 12] for further details. In the next section, we prove Theorem 2.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

We write

$$3^k = 2^k q + r \text{ with } 0 < r < 2^k \text{ and } q = [(\frac{3}{2})^k].$$

L. E. Dickson and S.S. Pillai (see for instance [HaWr54, Chap. XXI] or [Nar86, p. 226 Chap. IV]) proved independently in 1939 that the ideal Waring's Theorem (Conjecture 1.3) holds provided that the remainder  $r = 3^k - 2^k$  satisfies

$$(3) \quad r \leq 2^k - q - 3.$$

The condition 3 is satisfied for  $3 \leq k \leq 471600000$  as well as for sufficiently large  $k$ , as shown by K. Mahler [Mah57] in 1957 by means of Ridouts extension of the Thue-Siegel-Roth theorem.

Therefore we may now suppose that  $k > 471600000$  and further (3) does not hold, i.e.,

$$(4) \quad r \geq 2^k - q - 2$$

Let  $\gcd(3^k, 2^k(q+1)) = 3^v$  and set

$$a = 3^{k-v}, c = 3^{-v}2^k(q+1) \text{ and } b = c - a = 3^{-v}(2^k - r).$$

Then  $a, b, c$  are relatively prime positive integers satisfying  $a + b = c$  and

$$b = 3^{-v}(2^k - r) \leq 3^{-v}(q+3)$$

by (4). Then

$$(5) \quad N = N(abc) = R(3^{k-v} \cdot \frac{2^k(q+1)}{3^v} \cdot b) \leq \frac{6b(q+1)}{3^v} \leq \frac{6(q+1)(q+3)}{3^{2v}}.$$

First assume that  $N < e^{63727}$ . Then by (2), we have

$$2^k \leq \frac{2^k(q+1)}{3^v} < N^{\frac{7}{4}} < e^{63727 \cdot \frac{7}{4}}$$

implying

$$k < \frac{63727 \cdot 7}{4 \cdot \log 2} < 160893.$$

This is a contradiction since  $k > 471600000$ . Therefore we may suppose that  $N \geq e^{63727}$ . By Theorem 1 with  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{3}$  and (5), we have

$$\frac{2^k(q+1)}{3^v} < \frac{6}{5\sqrt{2\pi} \cdot 6460} \left( \frac{6(q+1)(q+3)}{3^{2v}} \right)^{\frac{4}{3}}.$$

implying

$$2^k < \frac{6^{\frac{7}{3}}}{5\sqrt{12920\pi}} q^{\frac{5}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{3}{q}\right)^{\frac{5}{3}}.$$

Since  $3^k > 2^k q$ , we have  $q < (\frac{3}{2})^k$ . Also  $1 + \frac{3}{q} < 2$  since  $k \geq 3$ . Therefore

$$2^k < \frac{6^{\frac{7}{3}} \cdot 2^{\frac{5}{3}}}{5\sqrt{12920\pi}} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\frac{5k}{3}} < \left(\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\frac{5}{3}}\right)^k < 2^k.$$

This is a contradiction. Hence the assertion.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Michel Waldschmidt for pointing out his paper [Mic00, p. 12] to the author which led to this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [Bak94] A. Baker, *Experiments on the abc-conjecture*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **65**(2004), 253–260.
- [ABC3] *ABC triples*, page maintained by Bart de Smit at <http://www.math.leidenuniv.nl/~desmit/abc/index.php?sort=1>, see also [http://rekenmeemetabc.nl/Synthese\\_resultaten](http://rekenmeemetabc.nl/Synthese_resultaten), <http://www.math.unicaen.fr/~nitaj/abc.html>.
- [GrTu02] A. Granville and T. J. Tucker, *It's as easy as abc*, Notices of the AMS, **49**(2002), 1224-31.  
H. Hardy and E. M. Wright
- [HaWr54] G. H. Hardy and W. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, Oxford Univ. Press, third ed., 1954.
- [LaSh12] S. Laishram and T. N. Shorey, *Baker's Explicit abc-Conjecture and applications*, Acta Arith., **155** (2012), 419–429.
- [Mah57] K. Mahler, *On the fractional parts of the powers of a rational number. II*, Mathematika, **4**(1957), 122-124.
- [Nar86] W. Narkiewicz, *Classical problems in number theory*, vol. 62 of Monografie Matematyczne [Mathematical Monographs], Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe (PWN), Warsaw, 1986.
- [Mic00] M. Waldschmidt, *Perfect Powers: Pillai's works and their developments*, Collected works of S. Sivasankaranarayana Pillai, Eds. R. Balasubramanian and R. Thangadurai, Collected Works Series, no. 1, Ramanujan Mathematical Society, Mysore, 2010, pp. xxii-xxlvii.

*E-mail address:* `shanta@isid.ac.in`

STAT MATH UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, 7 SJS SANSANWAL MARG, NEW DELHI 110016, INDIA