

Midterm Exam (10 March 2024)

- Answer all the questions. You have 3 hours to write this exam.

1. [50 marks]

A farming family owns land of size A (acres), and farms it with labour L , using the production function

$$Y = 100L^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The farm has access to 4 total units of family labour (you can think of 4 as the family size), which it divides as finely as it wishes between working *on* the farm and *off* the farm. Off-farm employment yields a wage of 100 per unit.

The farm can also hire *in* labour, again at the wage cost of 100 per unit. But unlike family labour, hired labour has to be supervised, and for this the farm has to hire a supervisor at a cost of 225. Once paid, the supervisor can costlessly supervise all hired labour.

(a) [15 marks]

Find out, with a clear explanation, a threshold value of owned land size, call it A_1 , such that if the family has less than A_1 acres of land ($A \leq A_1$), it will be a *labourer-cum-cultivator* family. That is, the family will devote an appropriate amount of family labour to the farm, hire in no additional labour, and hire out the remaining family labour for off-farm employment. Determine the amount of family labour devoted to the family farm as a function of the owned land size A .

(b) [20 marks]

Prove that there exists another threshold value of owned land size, call it A_2 , such that if the family has between A_1 and A_2 acres of land ($A_1 < A < A_2$), it will operate as a *self-cultivator* family. That is, the family operates as a full family farm, with all its family members working full time on it, but will not hire in any labour.

Above A_2 acres of owned land ($A \geq A_2$), the family operates as a *small capitalist* farm:

it hires a supervisor and hires labour from outside the family. Determine the farm's demand for hired labour as a function of the owned land size A .

(c) [15 marks]

How would your answers to parts (a) - (b) change (if at all) if there were no fixed costs to supervision, and if hired labour costs 25 *per unit* to supervise instead?

2. [50 marks]

- Consider transactions in rural land markets under the following economic environment.

- Production Function:

$$Q = e + \theta,$$

where Q is output, e is the cultivator's effort, and θ is a zero-mean random shock.

- Preferences: All agents are risk-neutral.

- Endowments: The landlord owns a plot of land, monetary wealth W_L and no labour; the tenant owns no land, monetary wealth W_T , and 1 unit of labour.

- Markets: Land, labour and goods markets are perfectly competitive. This means, if the landowner wants he could buy the tenant's labour services at the market wage rate w , and if the labour-owner wanted he could lease in the landlord's land at the market rental rate ρ . This implies that the reservation (expected) utility of the landlord is ρ , that is, $U_L \geq \underline{U}_L = \rho$, and the reservation (expected) utility of the tenant is w , that is, $U_T \geq \underline{U}_T = w$.

- Cost of Effort: We distinguish between labour time and effort. The tenant faces the following cost to exert effort:

$$c(e) = \frac{1}{2}ce^2, \quad c > 0.$$

- We consider the following parameter restriction: $w + \rho < \frac{3}{8c}$.

- Contracting: Effort, e , is neither observable nor monitorable. Hence the tenant would need incentives to put in effort. We restrict ourselves to *linear contracts*, that is, the tenant's income, y_T , is a linear function of output:

$$y_T = s \cdot Q - R, \quad \text{where } 0 \leq s \leq 1.$$

Let us summarize this contract as (s, R) , where s is referred to as the 'share' component and R as the 'fixed-rent' component.

- Limited Liability Constraint: The fixed component of the rent has to be paid in advance and this amount is bounded above by the tenant's wealth W_T :

$$R \leq W_T.$$

(a) [6 marks]

Under the contract (s, R) , find out the expected utilities of the landlord and the tenant, the total surplus, the first-best effort level and the maximized value of total surplus. Are there gains to be made from trade between the landlord and the tenant? Explain clearly.

(b) [15 marks]

Suppose that the landlord has all the bargaining power.

- (i) Formulate the optimal contracting problem when the landlord has all the bargaining power.
- (ii) Analyzing the contracting problem show that the optimal contract, (s^*, R^*) , has the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left(s^* = \frac{1}{2}, R^* = W_T \right) \text{ for } \rho - \frac{1}{4c} \leq W_T \leq \frac{1}{8c} - w; \\ & - \left(s^* = \sqrt{2c(w + W_T)}, R^* = W_T \right) \text{ for } \frac{1}{8c} - w < W_T < \frac{1}{2c} - w; \\ & - \left(s^* = 1, R^* = \frac{1}{2c} - w \right) \text{ for } W_T \geq \frac{1}{2c} - w. \end{aligned}$$

(c) [17 marks]

Now suppose that the tenant has all the bargaining power.

- (i) Formulate the optimal contracting problem when the tenant has all the bargaining power.
- (ii) Analyze the contracting problem rigorously and characterize completely the structure of the optimal contract, (s^{**}, R^{**}) .

(d) [12 marks]

Compare the efficiency of the optimal contracts under the above two alternative bargaining protocols. In particular, could you identify a few parameter ranges where you can clearly rank the above two alternative bargaining protocols in terms of efficiency? Explain clearly.