

GAME THEORY - ASSIGNMENT 2

Due date: September 12, 2024

1. Consider the following two player game in Table 1. Draw the best response maps of the two players and use this to find out
 - any mixed strategy of each player which is never a best response.
 - the set of all (pure and mixed) Nash equilibria using this.

	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>
<i>A</i>	(1, 0)	(3, 0)	(2, 1)
<i>B</i>	(3, 1)	(0, 1)	(1, 2)
<i>C</i>	(2, 1)	(1, 6)	(0, 2)

Table 1: Two Player Game

2. Suppose Player i has a pure strategy s_i that is chosen with positive probability in each of his maxmin strategies. Prove that s_i is not weakly dominated by any other strategy (pure or mixed).
3. A finite square matrix $A = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j \in T}$, where T is the set of rows/columns is called anti-symmetric if for every row i and column j , $a_{ij} + a_{ji} = 0$. Consider a two player zero sum game with T as the set of pure strategies for both the players. The utility of player 1 is $u_1(i, j) = a_{ij}$ for every $i, j \in T$. Find the payoff of any player in any (mixed strategy) Nash equilibrium of this zero-sum game.
4. A Nash equilibrium s^* in a finite strategic form game $\Gamma = (N, \{S_i\}_{i \in N}, \{u_i\}_{i \in N})$ is a **strict Nash equilibrium** if for every $i \in N$, for every $s_i \in S_i \setminus \{s_i^*\}$,

$$u_i(s_i^*, s_{-i}^*) > u_i(s_i, s_{-i}^*).$$

Prove that if the process of iterative elimination of strictly dominated strategies results in a unique strategy profile s^* , then s^* is a strict Nash equilibrium.

5. Suppose (σ_1, σ_2) and (σ'_1, σ'_2) are two (mixed strategy) Nash equilibria in the mixed extension of a two-player zero-sum game. Show that (σ_1, σ'_2) and (σ'_1, σ_2) are also Nash equilibria.