

SPEED POST A.D.

10-02-2014

CENTRAL PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER

Indian Statistical Institute,
203, Barrackpore Trunk Road,
Kolkata - 700108.

SUB. : APPLICATION UNDER THE R.T.I. ACT, 2005.

Sir,

Please provide the following information under the R.T.I. Act, 2005, in respect of the following :

1. Please provide the **name of the Representative, nominated by the Director, ISI, Kolkata**, for submitting the entire case material of the Inquiry, as requested by Sh. Bharat Ramaswami Inquiry officer (IO), made by him in his Letter Dt. 16th January 2013. **[Copy enclosed].**

2. Please provide the details of the action taken by the Director, ISI, Kolkata against the guilty Officers of ISI, Delhi Centre, on the finding returned by Sh. Bharat Ramaswami, Inquiry Officer (IO), on Article VI, in Para (2) on Page (7) of his Inquiry Report Dt. 16th January 2013, whereby, he had held that "**the primary responsibility for the non-appointment of the Architect lies with the Head, Delhi Centre, ISI, and the Dy. Chief Executive**, while qualifying the same by saying that policy is the domain of the HDC and the execution of policy and contracts is the primary responsibility of DCE". **[Copy enclosed].**

M/S NDR CHN
RTI for
n-a
he
17/2/14

3. Please provide the details of the action taken by the Director, ISI, Kolkata against the guilty Officers of ISI, Delhi Centre, on the finding returned by Sh. Bharat Ramaswami, Inquiry Officer (IO), on Article VII, in Para (6) on Page (8) of his Inquiry Report Dt. 16th January 2013, whereby, he had held that there was evidence of “ ***The Splitting of the Composite Tender was a gross violation of the Rules and the deciding Authorities to split the Composite Tender were the WAC (including the DCE as the Convenor) and the HDC*** ”. [Copy enclosed].


4. Please provide the details of the action taken by the Director, ISI, Kolkata against the guilty Officers of ISI, Delhi Centre, on the finding returned by Sh. Bharat Ramaswami, Inquiry Officer (IO), on Article IV, in Paras (2) and (3) on Page (11) of his Inquiry Report Dt. 16th January 2013, whereby, he had held that there was evidence of “ ***The primary responsibility for the failure to comply with the GFR and CPWD norms lies with the DCE and the Accounts*** ”. [Copy enclosed].

5. Please provide the details of the action taken by the Director, ISI, Kolkata against the guilty Officers of ISI, Delhi Centre, on the finding returned by the I.O. on Article III, in Para (5) on Page (12) of his Report Dt. 16th January 2013, whereby, he had held that there was evidence of “ ***The DCE and the Accounts are equally responsible for the financial irregularities that have been uncovered*** ”. [Copy enclosed].

6. Please provide the details of any action taken by the Director, ISI, Kolkata, regarding the Summary Remarks made by Sh. Bharat Ramaswami, Inquiry Officer (IO), in Para (1) on Page (18) of his Report Dt. 16th January 2013, which are also fully supported by the observations made in the Intensive Examination Report Dt. 23.06.2012, submitted by CTE of CVC, New Delhi.
[Copy enclosed].

7. The **Fee of Rs. 10/-** is being enclosed herewith, vide Postal Order No. 16F 016558.

Yours truly,



(VISHWA BANDHU)
26/19, Ground Floor,
Old Rajinder Nagar,
New Delhi-110060.
Mob.: 981006685