

# INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE

RECEIVED  
ON 21/3/14

Telephones : (033) 2577 6927 / 2575- 2251  
Fax : +(91)(33) 2577 6033  
E-mail : ceaf@isical.ac.in



203 BARRACKPORE TRUNK ROAD  
KOLKATA 700 108, INDIA

No.CAF/21-A/244/992  
14<sup>th</sup> March, 2014

Shri Vishwa Bandhu  
26/19, Old Rajinder Nagar,  
New Delhi-110060

Dear Sir,


With reference to your RTI application dated 10.02.2014, received by the CPIO on 17.02.2014, the information, as sought for, are furnished below:-

| Information sought |  | Information supplied   |
|--------------------|--|--|
| (1)                | Please provide the name of the Representative, nominated by the Director, ISI, Kolkata, for submitting the entire case material of the Inquiry as requested by Sh. Bharat Ramaswami Inquiry officer (IO), made by him in his letter Dt. 16 <sup>th</sup> January, 2013. (Copy enclosed).   | No such document / information is held.  |
| (2)                | Please provide the details of the action taken by the Director, ISI, Kolkata against the guilty Officers of ISI, Delhi Centre, on the finding returned by Sh. Bharat Ramaswami, Inquiry Officer (IO), on Article VI, in para (2) on page (7) of his Inquiry Report Dt. 16 <sup>th</sup> January, 2013, whereby, he had held that "the primary responsibility for the non-appointment of the Architect lies with the Head, Delhi Centre, ISI, and the Dy. Chief Executive, while qualifying the same by saying that policy is the domain of the HDC and the execution of policy and contracts is the primary responsibility of DCE". (Copy enclosed). | No employees of ISI mentioned as "guilty" in the paragraph(s) mentioned in the query. The quoted statement does not exist as a whole in the paragraph mentioned. It is pieced together by omitting parts of actual statements, which can be potentially incorrect, incomplete, therefore misleading. The query thus makes assumption. Under DOPT circular, No.1/18/2011/IR, a public authority is not "required to furnish information which require drawing of inferences and/or making of assumptions". Circular attached. |
| (3)                | Please provide the details of the action taken by the Director, ISI, Kolkata against the guilty Officers of ISI, Delhi Centre, on the finding returned by Sh. Bharat Ramaswami, Inquiry Officer (IO), on Article VII, in para (6) on page (8) of his Inquiry Report Dt. 16 <sup>th</sup> January, 2013, whereby, he had held that there was evidence of "The Splitting of the Composite tender was a gross violation of the Rules and the deciding Authorities to split the Composite Tender were the WAC (including the DCE as the Convener) and the HDC". (Copy enclosed).   | -do-   |
| (4)                | Please provide the details of the action taken by the Director, ISI, Kolkata against the guilty Officers of ISI, Delhi Centre, on the finding returned by Sh. Bharat Ramaswami, Inquiry Officer (IO), on Article IV, in para (2) and (3) on page (11) of his Inquiry Report Dt. 16 <sup>th</sup> January, 2013, whereby, he had held that there was evidence of "The primary   | -do-   |

|     |   |  |
|-----|---|--|
|     | responsibility for the failure to comply with the GFR and CPWD norms lies with the DCE and the Accounts ". (Copy enclosed).   |  |
| (5) | Please provide the details of the action taken by the Director, ISI, Kolkata against the guilty Officers of ISI, Delhi Centre, on the finding returned by the IO. on Article III, in para (5) on page (12) of his Inquiry Report Dt. 16 <sup>th</sup> January, 2013, whereby, he had held that there was evidence of "The DCE and the Accounts are equally responsible for the financial irregularities that have been uncovered". (Copy enclosed). | -do-   |
| (6) | Please provide the details of any action taken by the Director, ISI, Kolkata, regarding the Summary Remarks made by Sh. Bharat Ramaswami, Inquiry Officer (IO), in para (1) on page (18) of his Inquiry Report Dt. 16 <sup>th</sup> January, 2013, which are also fully supported by the observations made in the Intensive Examination Report Dt. 23.06.2012, submitted by CTE of CVC, New Delhi. (Copy enclosed).                                 | The query makes assumption/inference such as "fully supportedby". Under DOPT circular, No.1/18/2011/IR, a public authority is not "required to furnish information which require drawing of inferences and/or making of assumptions". Circular attached. |

Your RTI application dated 10.2.2014, received by the CPIO on 17.02.2014, is disposed off. Prof. Bimal K. Roy, Director of the Institute at the above address is the Appellate Authority.

Yours faithfully,

  
(S.K. Iyer)

Central Public Information Officer

copy to: ✓ Head;  
Delhi centre.